

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Philip Manfred Pincencia
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering**

ECE 109 - Engineering Probability&Stats (Poveda Fonseca, Jorge)
Winter 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 24
Number of Students Enrolled: 188

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

12 (52.2%): Strongly Agree
6 (26.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
5 (21.7%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

11 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
5 (22.7%): Agree
1 (4.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
5 (22.7%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

14 (58.3%): Strongly Agree
9 (37.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.2%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

13 (56.5%): Strongly Agree
5 (21.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.3%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
4 (17.4%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

14 (60.9%): Strongly Agree
8 (34.8%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (4.3%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

14 (60.9%): Strongly Agree
3 (13.0%): Agree
1 (4.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
5 (21.7%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Always punctual and provided clear guidance
- He was cheerful and seem ready to help others even when it appeared his hands were full.
- Philip was very open to me asking relevant questions and answered them in a succinct, understandable manner.

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Gives very detailed, rigorous, and timely responses to questions asked by me and other students on piazza.

- He corrected my misunderstandings about some topics and guided me through the meaning of the material as well as showed examples which aided my learning.
- Philip's availability for answering questions helped me learn the course material better.
- The goat of piazza. I wish my other classes had tutors/TAs that were as comprehensive and responsive.

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- Make sure to double check the post question before responding on Piazza.
- There were times when the answers in Piazza were not accurate/were too late and corrections were issued without any notification, this caused issues at times.
- You are doing a great job, thank you for your help!

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

- Frog
- Great tutor overall, very knowledgeable and a good guy

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

- 20 (90.9%): Major/Program Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Minor
- 1 (4.5%): College General Education
- 1 (4.5%): Elective
- 0 (0.0%): Interest
- 0 (0.0%): American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?

- 3 (14.3%): Frequently
- 5 (23.8%): Sometimes
- 6 (28.6%): Seldom
- 3 (14.3%): Never
- 4 (19.0%): Not Applicable
- 3: [No Response]

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

7 (31.8%):	Frequently
4 (18.2%):	Sometimes
2 (9.1%):	Seldom
5 (22.7%):	Never
4 (18.2%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL?**

1 (4.8%):	Frequently
4 (19.0%):	Sometimes
3 (14.3%):	Seldom
7 (33.3%):	Never
6 (28.6%):	Not Applicable
3:	[No Response]

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD?**

4 (18.2%):	Frequently
8 (36.4%):	Sometimes
6 (27.3%):	Seldom
3 (13.6%):	Never
1 (4.5%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

5 (23.8%):	Frequently
3 (14.3%):	Sometimes
3 (14.3%):	Seldom
3 (14.3%):	Never
7 (33.3%):	Not Applicable
3:	[No Response]

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.